

175(5): Evaluating the Counter Example to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

In note 175(4) it was shown that

$$[\hat{x}^2, \hat{p}^2] \psi = 2\hat{p}^2 \psi + 4i\hat{x} \hat{p} \psi \quad - (1)$$

The Heisenberg uncertainty principle can be evaluated as follows, (P. W. Atkins, "Molecular Quantum Mechanics", Oxford University Press, 2nd. ed., 1983, pp. 93 ff.).

If: $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \psi = i\hat{C} \psi \quad - (2)$

then: $\sigma_{\hat{A}} \sigma_{\hat{B}} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\langle \hat{C} \rangle| \quad - (3)$

where $\sigma_{\hat{A}} = (\langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle^2)^{1/2} \quad - (4)$

$\sigma_{\hat{B}} = (\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{B} \rangle^2)^{1/2} \quad - (5)$

and $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ is the expectation value of \hat{C} .

The usual Copenhagen interpretation is that if $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ is zero, \hat{A} and \hat{B} are knowable to arbitrary precision. If $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ is non-zero, then \hat{A} and \hat{B} are not simultaneously knowable, a contradiction of Bohr's idols of the causal philosophy, the very basis of rational philosophy. In the causal interpretation

of ECF theory, there is nothing in natural philosophy
 that is unknown. Commutator relations such as eq. (1)
 are mathematical outcomes of:

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad (6)$$

the Schrodinger operator in the x dimension.

In eq. (1):

$\hat{A} = \hat{x}^2$, $\hat{B} = \hat{p}$, \hat{C} is chosen to be
 in agreement with the definition (2), which it is here
 for convenience (see Atkins). So:

$$\hat{C} = 2\hat{x}\hat{p} + 4\hat{x}\hat{p}i \quad (7)$$

and $\langle \hat{C} \rangle = \frac{\int \psi^* \hat{C} \psi d\tau}{\int \psi^* \psi d\tau} \quad (8)$

In the previous note it was shown that $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$
 can be zero or non-zero, depending on the exact
 solution chosen of the Schrodinger equation. This
 is a reductio ad absurdum refutation of the
 Copenhagen interpretation, because it shows that \hat{A}
 and \hat{B} can be simultaneously knowable or not for
 the same equation, the Schrodinger equation. In the
 ECF interpretation this result is a consequence of
 eq. (6), no more or no less than that.

3) In the previous note, only the zeroth wavefunction of the harmonic oscillator was used. The first four normalized wave functions are:

$$\psi_0 = \left(\frac{d}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \exp(-y^2/2) \quad - (9)$$

$$\psi_1 = \left(\frac{d}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \sqrt{2} y \exp(-y^2/2) \quad - (10)$$

$$\psi_2 = \left(\frac{d}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (2y^2 - 1) \exp(-y^2/2) \quad - (11)$$

$$\psi_3 = \left(\frac{d}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (2y^3 - 3y) \exp(-y^2/2) \quad - (12)$$

$$\text{where } d = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar}, \quad y = d^{1/2} x \quad - (13)$$

It will be interesting to work out these wave functions when used to evaluate $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ from eqs. (7) and (8). From eq. (9), it was shown in the previous note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{x} \hat{p} \rangle &= \left(\frac{d}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-dx^2/2} x \hat{p} e^{-dx^2/2} dx \\ &= i\hbar/2 \end{aligned} \quad - (14)$$

so from eq. (7) and (8):

$$\langle \hat{C} \rangle = 0 \quad - (15)$$

for this wave function, ψ_0 .

Computer Algebra Problem

1) Check the result (14) by computer using:

$$\langle \hat{x} \hat{p} \rangle = -i \left(\frac{d}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-dx^2/2} x \frac{d}{dx} (e^{-dx^2/2}) dx$$

2) Evaluate $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ using the wave function (16) to (12).

If $\langle \hat{C} \rangle$ is different for different wave-functions of the harmonic oscillator, the Copenhagen interpretation is refuted. Such a result would mean that \hat{x} and \hat{p} can be specified simultaneously, but not at other times, for the same exact solution of the Schrödinger equation. This is the harmonic oscillator, used throughout physics.
