

2.56(7): Precise Definition of "Single Polarization" ECE Theory.

The entire ECE theory is based directly on Cartan geometry, which in turn is based on the definition of the tetrad:

$$\nabla^a = e^a_\mu \nabla^\mu \quad (1)$$

The tetrad is the matrix linking any vector ∇^a and ∇^μ in two different representations or bases. Paying attention to three dimensional space and to unit vectors, then:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{e}^{(1)} \\ \underline{e}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{(1)}_x & e^{(1)}_y \\ e^{(2)}_x & e^{(2)}_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{i} \\ \underline{j} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

for the transverse components of a vacuum plane wave.

in this case:

$$\underline{e}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi} \quad (3)$$

$$\underline{e}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + i\underline{j}) e^{-i\phi} \quad (4)$$

where ϕ is the phase:

$$\phi = \omega t - kZ \quad (5)$$

Here ω is the angular frequency at time t and k is the wave vector component at point Z . The frame of reference defined in this way is rotating and translating with respect to a static frame. This is the most important point in ECE theory.

2) Therefore there exist the tetrad vectors:

$$\underline{v}^{(1)} = v_x^{(1)} \underline{i} + v_y^{(1)} \underline{j} - (6)$$

$$\underline{v}^{(2)} = v_x^{(2)} \underline{i} + v_y^{(2)} \underline{j} - (7)$$

$$\underline{v}^{(3)} = v_z^{(3)} \underline{k} - (8)$$

where

$$v_x^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\phi}, \quad v_y^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\phi}$$

$$v_x^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\phi}, \quad v_y^{(2)} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\phi}$$

$$v_z^{(3)} = 1. - (9)$$

The rotating and translating frame is defined by the phase factors $e^{i\phi}$ and $e^{-i\phi}$, s. there must be the convention. This is what makes

ECE theory a theory of general relativity. It has more information than Maxwell Heaviside theory, which the concept of convention does not exist.

Recent work has shown that the Cartesian identity gives a simple way of calculating the convention from the tetrad. Note 286(2) showed that

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v}^a = -i\omega^a{}_b \times \underline{v}^b$$

-(10)

So: $\nabla \times \underline{a}^{(1)} = \kappa \underline{a}^{(1)} = -i\omega \underline{b} \times \underline{a}^{(1)} - (11)$

The single polarization model means that:

$$\underline{a}^{(1)} = \underline{a} - (12)$$

i.e. only the vector $\underline{a}^{(1)}$ is being considered. This is sufficient to define the vacuum plane wave:

$$\underline{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) e^{i\phi} - (13)$$

Single polarization means:

$$a = b. - (14)$$

Denoting:

$$\omega = \omega^{(1)} - (15)$$

Then it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \underline{a} &= \kappa \underline{a} = -i\omega \underline{a} \times \underline{a} - (16) \\ &= -i\kappa \underline{k} \times \underline{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\underline{k} \times \underline{a} = i\underline{a} - (17)$$

where:

$$\underline{k} = \underline{a}^{(3)} - (18)$$

$$\underline{a} = \underline{e}^{(1)} e^{i\phi} - (19)$$

So eq. (17) is:

$$\underline{a}^{(3)} \times \underline{a}^{(1)} = i\underline{a}^{(1)} - (20)$$

Using

$$\underline{a}^{(1)} = \underline{a}^{(2)*} - (21)$$

4) eq. (20) can be written as:

$$\underline{q}^{(3)} \times \underline{q}^{(1)} = i \underline{q}^{(2)*} \quad - (22)$$

Eq. (22) implies:

$$\underline{q}^{(2)} \times \underline{q}^{(3)} = i \underline{q}^{(1)*} \quad - (23)$$

$$\underline{q}^{(1)} \times \underline{q}^{(2)} = i \underline{q}^{(3)*} \quad - (24)$$

Eqs. (22) to (24) define the complex circular basis.
The (1), (2) and (3) superscripts can always
be removed, to give:

$$\underline{k} \times \underline{q} = i \underline{q} \quad - (25)$$

$$\underline{q}^* \times \underline{k} = i \underline{q}^* \quad - (26)$$

$$\underline{q} \times \underline{q}^* = i \underline{k} \quad - (27)$$

Eqs. (22) to (24) are written in the (1), (2), (3) basis,
Eqs. (25) to (27) are written in the i, j, k basis,
the Cartesian basis. They are two different
ways of representing the same cyclic symmetry of
space

Double check a Eqs. (25) to (27)

Eq. (25) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{k} \times \underline{v} &= \frac{e^{i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \underline{k} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -i & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{e^{i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i}\underline{i} + \underline{j}) \quad \text{--- (28)} \\
 &= \frac{ie^{i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - i\underline{j}) \\
 &= \underline{iq} \quad \text{QED}
 \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (26) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{v}^* \times \underline{k} &= \frac{e^{-i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \underline{k} \\ 1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{e^{-i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i}\underline{i} - \underline{j}) \quad \text{--- (29)} \\
 &= \frac{ie^{-i\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + i\underline{j}) \\
 &= i\underline{q}^* \quad \text{QED}
 \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (27) can be written as:

$$\underline{v} \times \underline{v}^* = \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \underline{k} \\ 1 & -i & 0 \\ 1 & i & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$b) \quad = i \underline{k} = i \underline{v}^{(3)} = i \underline{v}^{(3)*} - (30)$$

QED

Eq. (27) is known as the conjugate product

$$\underline{v} \times \underline{v}^* = \underline{v}^{(1)} \times \underline{v}^{(2)} - (31)$$

It defines the $B^{(3)}$ field and inverse Faraday effect as follows:

$$\underline{B}^{(3)} = \underline{B}^{(3)*} = -i B^{(0)} \underline{v}^{(1)} \times \underline{v}^{(2)}$$

$$= -i B^{(0)} \underline{v} \times \underline{v}^* - (32)$$

$$= B^{(0)} \underline{k}$$

The spin connection is defined as:

$$\underline{\omega} = -i \omega_0 \underline{v}^{(1)} \times \underline{v}^{(2)} - (33)$$

$$= -i \omega_0 \underline{v} \times \underline{v}^*$$

$$= \omega_0 \underline{k}$$

It follows that:

$$\underline{B}^{(3)} = B^{(0)} \frac{\underline{\omega}}{\omega_0} - (34)$$

The $B^{(3)}$ field is defined by the spin

7) convention. This means that general relativity is needed to define the $\underline{B}^{(3)}$ field, which is described in the inverse Faraday effect as the conjugate product of circularly polarized radiation. The old physics did not use the spin convention and did not define the $\underline{B}^{(3)}$ because the old theory was special relativity. The spin convention defines the energy / momentum of the photon as follows:

$$p^\mu = \left(\frac{E}{c}, \underline{p} \right) = \hbar \left(\frac{\omega}{c}, \underline{k} \right) \quad (35)$$

$$= \hbar (\omega_0, \underline{\omega})$$

where ω the angular frequency should not be confused with the spin convention four vector:

$$\omega^\mu = (\omega_0, \underline{\omega}) \quad (36)$$

Therefore the photon energy momentum is defined by the $\underline{B}^{(3)}$ field as follows:

$$p^\mu = \hbar \omega_0 \left(1, \frac{\underline{B}^{(3)}}{B^{(0)}} \right) \quad (37)$$