

### 394(3): Conservation of Antisymmetry in the Macroscopic

#### Zitterbewegung Theory

The Riemannian torsion tensor  $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$  is defined by the well known commutator equation:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]V^\rho = -T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda V^\rho + R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} V^\sigma \quad (1)$$

where

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \quad (2)$$

and where  $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$  is the Christoffel connection. This must be antisymmetric:

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = -\Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \quad (3)$$

because if it were symmetric:

$$\mu = ? \nu \quad (4)$$

and

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = ? 0 \quad (5)$$

Eq. (3) alone is enough to refute Einstein's general  
relativity.

Using the tetrad postulate:

$$\Gamma^a_{\mu\nu} = g^a_\mu g^b_\nu + \omega^a_{\mu b} g^b_\nu \quad (6)$$

it follows that:

$$g^a_\mu g^b_\nu + \omega^a_{\mu b} g^b_\nu = - (g^a_\nu g^b_\mu + \omega^a_{\nu b} g^b_\mu) \quad (7)$$

Eq. (7) gives the scalar and vector antisymmetry laws.  
They are a direct consequence of eq. (1), which defines  
the Maurer Cartan structure equations and the Riemann  
curvature and torsion tensors.

2) The Lindstrom or trace antisymmetry law is also a direct consequence of fundamental symmetry:

$$\Gamma_{00}^a + \Gamma_{11}^a + \Gamma_{22}^a + \Gamma_{33}^a = 0. \quad (8)$$

It is also true that:

$$\Gamma_{00}^a = \Gamma_{11}^a = \Gamma_{22}^a = \Gamma_{33}^a = 0. \quad (9)$$

Now define:

$$\omega_{\mu b}^a q_{\nu}^b = \omega_{\mu 0}^a q_{\nu}^0 + \omega_{\mu 1}^a q_{\nu}^1 + \omega_{\mu 2}^a q_{\nu}^2 + \omega_{\mu 3}^a q_{\nu}^3$$

$$= \omega_{\mu}^a q_{\nu}$$

- (10)

The Cartan torsion tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_{\mu} q_{\nu}^a - \partial_{\nu} q_{\mu}^a + \omega_{\mu b}^a q_{\nu}^b - \omega_{\nu b}^a q_{\mu}^b$$

- (11)

reduces for each  $a$  to:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_{\mu} + \omega_{\mu}) q_{\nu} - (\partial_{\nu} + \omega_{\nu}) q_{\mu} \quad (12)$$

The antisymmetry laws become:

$$(\partial_{\mu} + \omega_{\mu}) q_{\nu} = -(\partial_{\nu} + \omega_{\nu}) q_{\mu} \quad (13)$$

and:

$$\Gamma_{00} = \partial_0 q_0 + \omega_0 q_0 = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\Gamma_{ii} = (\partial_i + \omega_i) q_i = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The antisymmetry laws are a direct consequence of fundamental symmetry. They are true for all of physics.

To convert to vector notation:

$$d_\mu = \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt}, \underline{\nabla} \right) \quad - (16)$$

$$q_\mu = \left( q_0, -\underline{q} \right) \quad - (17)$$

Trace Anisymmetry Law

$$\frac{dq_0}{dt} + \omega_0 q_0 = 0 \quad - (18)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{q} + \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{q} = 0 \quad - (19)$$

$$\omega_\mu = \left( \frac{\omega_0}{c}, -\underline{\omega} \right) \quad - (20)$$

using

Also:

$$\frac{dq_x}{dx} + \omega_x q_x = 0 \quad - (21)$$

$$\frac{dq_y}{dy} + \omega_y q_y = 0 \quad - (22)$$

$$\frac{dq_z}{dz} + \omega_z q_z = 0 \quad - (23)$$

Scalar Anisymmetry Law

$$(\partial_0 + \omega_0) q_\nu = -(\partial_\nu + \omega_\nu) q_0 \quad - (24)$$

Vector Anisymmetry Law

$$(\partial_\mu + \omega_\mu) q_\nu = -(\partial_\nu + \omega_\nu) q_\mu \quad - (25)$$

$$\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3$$

In vector notation eq. (24) is:

$$-\underline{\nabla} \underline{v}_0 + \underline{\omega} \underline{v}_0 = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \underline{v}}{\partial t} + \underline{\omega}_0 \underline{v} \quad - (26)$$

Application to Electrodynamics

The ECE postulate is used:

$$A_\mu^a = A^{(a)} \underline{v}_\mu^a \quad - (27)$$

$$\underline{E} = -\underline{\nabla} \phi + \underline{\omega} \phi = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \underline{\omega}_0 \underline{A} \quad - (28)$$

$$\underline{B} = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A} - \underline{\omega} \times \underline{A} \quad - (29)$$

The vector antisymmetry equations are:

$$\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} = \omega_y A_z + \omega_z A_y \quad - (30)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} = \omega_z A_x + \omega_x A_z \quad - (31)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} = \omega_x A_y + \omega_y A_x \quad - (32)$$

Trace antisymmetry means that.

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \omega_0 \phi = 0 \quad - (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \omega_x A_x = 0 \quad - (34)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \omega_y A_y = 0 \quad - (35)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} + \omega_z A_z = 0 \quad - (36)$$

where

$$A_\mu = \left( \frac{\phi}{c}, -\underline{A} \right) \quad - (37)$$

## Electrostatics

$$\underline{E} = -\underline{\nabla} \phi + \underline{\omega} \phi = -\frac{\partial \underline{A}_E}{\partial t} - \omega_0 \underline{A}_E - (38)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \omega_0 \phi = 0 - (39)$$

and  $\underline{A}_B = \underline{0} - (40)$

In the MZ theory, the macroscopic electromagnetic theory:

$$\underline{E} = \underline{E}_0 + \underline{\omega} \phi_0 - (41)$$

where  $\underline{E}_0$  and  $\phi_0$  are the electric field strength and potential in the hypothetical absence of vacuum. If the Coulomb potential or dipole potential is used,

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0 - (42)$$

$$\omega_0 = 0 - (43)$$

so

It follows that:

$$\underline{E} = -\frac{\partial \underline{A}_E}{\partial t} - (44)$$

Eqs. (34) to (3) reduce to zero = zero.

## Magnetostatics

In the MZ theory the magnetic flux density in the presence of vacuum is:

$$\underline{B} = \underline{B}_0 - \underline{\omega} \times \underline{A}_B - (45)$$

where

$$\underline{B}_0 = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}_B - (46)$$

In magnetostatics:

b)

$$\phi = 0, \quad \underline{A} \cdot \underline{E} = 0 \quad - (47)$$

In QM theory,  $\underline{B}$ ,  $\underline{B}_0$  and  $\underline{\omega}$  are known for example such as the 'dipole field'. So the vi symmetry laws (30) to (32) are true automatically in 2T magnetostatics, because the spi connection vector  $\underline{\omega}$  is derived from  $\underline{B}$ ,  $\underline{B}_0$  and  $\underline{A}_B$ .

Adding eqns. (34) to (36) gives the gauge equation:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{A}_B + \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{A}_B = 0 \quad - (48)$$

with individual components (34) to (36). In QM theory,  $\underline{\omega}$  and  $\underline{A}_B$  are known, so  $\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{A}_B$  can be found, together with  $\partial A_{Bx} / \partial x$ ,  $\partial A_{By} / \partial y$ , and  $\partial A_{Bz} / \partial z$ . The self consistent procedure is to find  $\omega_x$ ,  $\omega_y$  and  $\omega_z$  from eqns. (34) to (36) for a given magnetic potential such as the dipole potential. The shivering magnetic field in the presence of the vacuum is then found from:

$$\underline{B} = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A} - \underline{\omega} \times \underline{A} \quad - (49)$$

and the non square fluctuations in  $\underline{B}$  determined, giving  $\langle \underline{\delta r} \cdot \underline{\delta r} \rangle$ . In tensor notation eqn. (49)

$$B_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i + \omega_i A_j - \omega_j A_i \quad - (50)$$

is:

The vector antisymmetry law is:

$$B_{ij} = -B_{ji} \quad - (51)$$

7)

So :

$$j_i A_j + \omega_i A_j = -(\dot{j}_j A_i + \omega_j A_i) - (52)$$

is true automatically. So eqs. (30) to (32) are true automatically, QED.

It is well emphasizing that  $U(1)$  gauge theory is refused completely in UFT131, using the standard model's own definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\mu, D_\nu] \phi &= [\partial_\mu - ig A_\mu, \partial_\nu - ig A_\nu] \phi \\ &= -ig ([\partial_\mu, A_\nu] - ig [A_\mu, A_\nu]) \phi \\ &= -ig [\partial_\mu, A_\nu] \phi \\ &= -ig (\partial_\mu (A_\nu \phi) - A_\nu \partial_\mu \phi) \\ &= -ig ((\partial_\mu A_\nu) \phi + A_\nu (\partial_\mu \phi) - A_\nu (\partial_\mu \phi)) \\ &= -ig \partial_\mu A_\nu \phi - (53) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that in  $U(1)$  gauge theory:

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu = -\partial_\nu A_\mu - (54)$$

but as shown in UFT131 and UFT132 this is not true, so the entire standard unified field theory is, really refused.